	Rating:				Weight ·				
ENERGIZE	Raung.				Weight : Importance of the criterion to ECOT				
CO COLORADO		0 = No				3 =	high		
		1 =	Maybe or Unsur	е		2 =	medium		
EC Operational Team		2 =	Yes			1 =	low		
LC Operational learn		n/a =	n/a						
Is this opportunity operationally right for us?					Score	= Rating x Weig	ht		
Proposal Title:	i		1	i	Proposal submi				
Assessment Mission	Rating	Weight	Score		Notes	+ Details			
Does this opportunity align with the									
mission of Energize Colorado?		3	0						
Does it align with our principles and core values?		3	0						
Is this unique (another project with the		2	0						
same goals doesn't already exist)? Feasibility		2	0						
Can the work realistically get done in the									
timeline proposed?		3	0						
Is Energize Colorado the best organization to take on this project (as									
opposed to a partner better suited to take on this project)?		2	0						
Are there existing partnerships within		1	0						
this proposal? Are there potential partners who would		1	0						
be interested in this proposal now or in the future?		3	0						
Does the proposal include a team ready		3							
to execute?		1	0						
Resiliency Will the proposal help the targeted									
community gain long-term benefits?		3	0						
Does this project advance resiliency for the businesses it serves?		3	0						
Resources									
Are the financial resources available within EC, Inc. to accomplish this									
proposal in its entirety?		2	0						
Are the non-financial resources available within EC, Inc. to accomplish this									
proposal in its entirety?		1	0						
Does the EC team have the capacity to support this project?		3	0						
Are there funders who would be interested in this proposal?		2	0						
Total Score		2	0						
Definitions: Non-financial resources: people, tools, & expertise				Matrix Scoring Legend: More than 65: Opportunity meets criteria and should be moved forward to Equity Review Board					
			Less than 65: Op Equity Review B		ot meet criteria	and should not be	moved forward to		
			Equity Neview B	J					
ECOT REVIEW		'	•		'	•			

	Rating:				Weight : Importance of the criterion to ERB		
ENERGIZE							
CO COLORADO		0 =	No			3 =	high
COCCRADO		1 =	Maybe or Unsure			2 =	medium
		2 =	Yes			1 =	low
Equity Review Board		n/a =	n/a				
					Score	= Rating x	Neight
Does this opportunity align with the equity principles of EC?							
Proposal Title:					Proposal sub	mitted by:	
Assessment	Rating	Weight	Score		Writter	Observations	
Equality (evenly distributed tools and assistance):							
Accessibility: will various groups have the same opportunity to benefit from the solution or receive the information?			0				
Opportunity: if the information has the appropriate reach, do the recipients have equal opportunity for eligibility to apply ?			0				
Application: is the application process equally comprehensible to all audiences (complexity/language/prerequisites)?			0				
Vetting: would it be appropriate to identify & source additional perspectives to join the dialogue/review?							
Equity (custom tools that identify and address inequality):			<u>. </u>				
Can any fundamental internal processes be adjusted to address inequality in a more long-term/permanent manner?			0				
Can any fundamental external processes be adjusted to address inequality in a more long-term/permanent manner?			0				
Stakeholder management: identify key external/internal stakeholders that additional knowledge/skill transfer would yield higher ROI			0				
Systemic/institutional obstacles: identify and propose ad hoc and/or more sustainable solutions			0				
Communication (sender/message/receiver):							
Language considerations: how many languages should this be presented in?			0				
Tone: is the content being presented with the appropriate tone			0				
Inclusivity/exclusivity: is the content excluding any demographics? Can the content language be adjusted to be more inclusive?			0				
EC alignment: does the messaging align with EC mission/values?			0				
Additional Considerations							
Is there a plan or need for various religion/belief considerations?			0				
Is there opportunity to expand the targeted program so other demographics/communities might benefit as well?			0				
Iteration: has this program any overlap/history/themes with prior EC offerings? Any lessons learned/resources to share?			0				
Value: are we adding value to the right community in a manner appropriate to their needs (what/how/when/who)?			0				
			0				
Total Score			0				
Definitions:			Matrix Scoring Le	gend:			
			More than #: Opportunity meets criteria and should be moved forward to Equity Review Board			forward to Equity	
			Less than #: Opportunity does not meet criteria and should not be moved forw			be moved forward	
			to Equity Review	Board			
ECOT REVIEW							
			Matrix Scoring Le More than #: Op	ortunity mee			

				Approved?			
ENERGIZE							
CO COLORADO				Yes	No		
Strategy Committee							
Is this opportunity strategically right for us?							
Proposal Title:				Proposal submitted by:			
CRITERIA: Approved by ECOT	+ ERB, Propo	sals > \$5k					
Assessment	Yes	No		Notes + Details			
Considerations							
Are there adoption risks to consider?							
Are their barriers to entry?							
,							
Are there innacurate assumptions being made?							
Is there impact potential that makes this worth the work?							
Are there people or partners that could help support this this project not mentioned in the proposal?							
Is Energize Colorado the best organization to execute this project?							
Are there any considerations from the ECOT rubric that should be noted?							
Are there any considerations from the ERB rubric that should be noted?							
Are any items in this proposal dealbreakers to approving the project?							
Is this proposal approved?							